

Mitcham (C)

HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

DEMAND AND SUPPLY BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA

12 APRIL 2013



Data included:

Low and moderate income households

Household and family types

Age of household reference person

Housing stress

Recent movers

Indigenous persons

Dwelling prices

Tenure Diversity

Affordable house sales

Affordable private rents Dwelling type

Recent development trends by dwelling type


Social housing stock



🟂 Housing Affordability - Mitcham (C)

The complexity surrounding affordability means that there is no one best measure for assessing the nature and degree of housing affordability problems.

This report describes the extent and general nature of local housing needs. A summary of the report is provided below.







	Housing Demand Mitcham (C)	Less pressure on affordable housing marke More pressure on affordable housing marke Stable pressure on affordable housing marke	et = 👚
1	Low and moderate income households	Percentage of low income households (less than 80% of state median) 2011: 26.1%. [Greater Adelaide: 33.1%]	1
2	Household and family types	Percentage change in the number of households between 2006 and 2011: 0.8%. [Greater Adelaide: 5.5%]	1
3	Age of household reference person	Percentage of households where the household reference person is aged 60 years or over: 36.2%. [Greater Adelaide: 32.1%]	1
4	Housing stress, 2011	Percentage of private renter households paying more than 25% of their weekly gross household income on housing: 45.3%. [Greater Adelaide: 76.1%]	•
5	Recent movers (2006 - 2011)	Percentage of people who were living at a different address five years ago (2011 data): 31.4%. [Greater Adelaide: 34.0%]	1
6	Indigenous persons (Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders)	Indigenous persons by age group, 2011: 0.4%. [Greater Adelaide: 1.3%]	•
	Housing Supply Mitcham (C)		
7	Dwelling prices	Average annual percentage change in median dwelling prices, 2003-04 to 2011-12: 6.1%. [Greater Adelaide: 6.1%]	1
8	Tenure Diversity, 2011	Percentage of households who own, or are purchasing their dwelling, 2011: 76.2%. [Greater Adelaide: 66.1%]	1
9	Affordable house sales	Proportion of dwelling sales that were affordable to low income households between July 2006 and June 2012 : 2.5%. [Greater Adelaide: 4.5%]	1
10	Affordable private rents	Proportion of private rents that were affordable to low income households between July 2006 and June 2012 : 42.2%. [Greater Adelaide: 43.5%]	
11	Dwelling type	Percentage of dwellings with one or two bedrooms: 24.7%. [Greater Adelaide: 23.5%]	1
12	Recent development trends by dwelling type	Percentage of new residential dwellings which were flats, units or apartments, July 2008 to June 2012: 32.6%. [Greater Adelaide: 26.0%]	•
13	Social housing stock	Social housing stock (number of dwellings): 399	n/a

Low and moderate income households



Mitcham (C)

What is the desired trend?

A mix of household incomes is desirable in any location.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

Very Low and Low income households are defined as those households earning up to 80% of the State's median income. In 2011 80% of the State's median income was \$835 per week.

In 2011, Mitcham (C) has a lower proportion of very low and low income households (26.1)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (33.1%).

The number of very low and low income households in Mitcham (C) was 6,206.



What is the current trend?

South Australia is a lower income state, with lower median household incomes than the eastern states.

As affordability declines, lower income households concentrate in areas which are less well located, often further from employment, education and other services.



Why use this information?

Understanding the mix of household types in a community informs the demand and need for housing.

The median household income is the household income at which half the households have more income and half have less income.

The low and moderate income households indicator uses the following widely used description for very low, low and moderate income households.

- Very low income 50% of median household income
- Low income 80% of median household income
- Moderate income 120% of median household income

As housing markets operate regionally, calculations of very low, low and moderate income households are based on two medians - one for metropolitan and one for rest of state.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A vibrant and healthy community needs a broad social mix - in terms of family types, family backgrounds, incomes, ages, etc. A wide mix of people in a community will result in a greater diversity of activities and

A larger concentration of higher income households and people in stable employment will drive up house prices and rents beyond the affordability of lower income households and those employed on a flexible basis.



Household income by tenure type, 2011



Mitcham (C)

Tenure type	Very le incon (<50% media	ne of	Low in (50%-8 med	0% of	Mode income 120% of	(80%-	High ind (>120% medi	% of	Income stat		Tot	tal
	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	374	10.2	350	13.7	961	26.5	6,365	54.6	992	43.7	9,042	38.1
Rented: Public	166	4.5	38	1.5	21	0.6	18	0.2	16	0.7	259	1.1
Rented: Private and not stated	704	19.3	489	19.2	768	21.2	1,378	11.8	252	11.1	3,591	15.1
Rented: Other landlord	161	4.4	48	1.9	28	0.8	44	0.4	40	1.8	321	1.4
Other tenure types	2,249	61.5	1,627	63.8	1,850	51.0	3,849	33.0	972	42.8	10,547	44.4
Total	3,654	100.0	2,552	100.0	3,628	100.0	11,654	100.0	2,272	100.0	23,760	100.0



A mix of household sizes and types is desirable in all locations.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

Between 2006 and 2011 the percentage change in total households for Mitcham (C) was 0.8%. This rate of change was lower than that in the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area which experienced a 5.5% increase.



What is the current trend?

Household formation rates continue to exceed population growth.

National household size is reducing and there are less people living in each home. This decline has been attributed to declining fertility rates, ageing of the population, higher divorce rates and preferences for living alone.

The exception to this trend is the Indigenous community. The average household size with at least one Indigenous person was 3.5 people.



Why use this information?

Informs the extent of the demand and need for different housing types.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Housing demand is fuelled more by household formation rates than it is by growth of total population. This means that there is often a continued growth for housing, even in areas of no or low population growth.

Nationally, single person households are the fastest growing household type. There is limited housing stock affordable for them, even in lower demand areas.

Household and Family types	2011		200	16	Change 2006 to 2011	
Trousenoid and Family types	number	%	number	%	number	%
Couple Families with Children 15 or over	2,739	15.9	2,798	16.7	-59	-2.1
Couple Families with Children under 15	5,332	31.0	5,008	29.8	324	6.5
Total couples with child(ren)	8,071	46.9	7,806	46.5	265	3.4
One Parent Families with Children 15 or over	1,239	7.2	1,205	7.2	34	2.8
One Parent Families with Children under 15	893	5.2	924	5.5	-31	-3.4
Total one parent families	2,132	12.4	2,129	12.7	3	0.1
Other Families	263	1.5	270	1.6	-7	-2.6
Couple Families with No Children	6,760	39.2	6,591	39.2	169	2.6
Total families	17,226	100.0	16,796	100.0	430	2.6
One Family Households	16,914	69.5	16,555	68.6	359	2.2
Two or more family households	155	0.6	118	0.5	37	31.4
Total family households	17,069	70.2	16,673	69.1	396	2.4
Lone person household	5,887	24.2	6,006	24.9	-119	-2.0
Group household	806	3.3	788	3.3	18	2.3
Other Households	562	2.3	654	2.7	-92	-14.1
Total households	24,324	100.0	24,121	100.0	203	0.8
Average household size	2.5		2.5		0.0	0.0
(Average number of people per household)						

🍢 Age of household reference person

Mitcham (C)

What is the desired trend?

A mix of age groups is desirable for any location.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

In 2011, Mitcham (C) had a greater proportion of households with a household reference person (or "head") aged 60 years or older (36.2)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (32.1%). The number of "older" households in Mitcham (C) was 8,814.



What is the current trend?

SA is ageing faster than the rest of Australia and 22.2% of its population is expected to be aged 65 or more by 2021 compared to 18.7% nationally.

Age profiles vary across housing tenures, with older persons predominately in outright homeownership.



Why use this information?

The indicator provides an age profile of heads of households and its influence on housing need and demand.

Data on the age profile of the population (as opposed to head of household) is available from the ABS.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

An age profile of a community effects relative housing need. Age cohorts provide an indication of likely housing demand.


- Young people (typically 15-24 years) often experience the highest incidence of housing stress and require housing options that support a transition to independence.
- Households in the mid 20s to 40s are often starting a family and seeking opportunities to enter homeownership.
- Mature aged householders in their 50s and 60s are more likely to be outright owners. Those in private rental are unlikely to enter homeownership at this stage in life.
- Older households (over 65) are often asset rich but income poor and looking for housing options which enable ageing within their community or support services that assists them to age in place.

Mitcham (C)

And of household reference were an	2011			
Age of household reference persons	number	%		
5-29 years	1,947	8.0		
30-44 years	5,961	24.5		
45-59 years	7,039	28.9		
60-74 years	5,265	21.6		
75 and over	3,549	14.6		
No Matches	567	2.3		
Fotal	24,328	100.0		

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2011 Census of Population and Housing

Data based on Place of Usual Residence



Reduction in the proportion of households, particularly private renters, in housing stress and extreme stress.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

In 2011, Mitcham (C) had a lower proportion of private renter households (includes those with a landlord type of 'other') who were earning a moderate income or less and paying more than 25% of their income on housing (74.1)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (76.1%). The number of private renter households earning a moderate income or less which are in housing stress in Mitcham (C) was 1,629.



What is the current trend?

While increasing in total numbers, the proportion of households in housing stress increased in SA from 2006 to 2011.

Housing stress affects some tenures disproportionately, with private tenants bearing the brunt of declining housing affordability, and increasingly first homebuyers.



Why use this information?

Housing stress is an indicator of housing need, which was initially developed by the National Housing Strategy in 1990/91 and is widely used across Australia.

The South Australian Strategic Plan sets a target to lead the nation over the period to 2020 in the proportion of low income households not experiencing housing stress. It describes housing stress as low income households (lowest 40% of incomes) paying more than 25% of gross household income for private rental or 30% for mortgage repayments.

Low and moderate income households paying more than 50% of their gross household income on housing are often considered to be in extreme housing stress.

Housing stress calculations are limited to the proportion of income paid on rent and mortgage payments, as reported through the Census. Affordability issues are compounded often by associated energy and water consumption and transport costs. However these are difficult to quantify, given variations in household type, health and lifestyle preferences and employment patterns and have therefore been excluded.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A household that is in stress is less likely to be able to contribute to community life, either due to the need to work longer hours to pay the bills, or simply because there isn't enough money for other activities.

The housing stress problem manifests itself in different ways, such as moving to a lower priced area (and hence often away from job opportunities), postponement of child bearing or family breakdown.

As alternative housing forms and tenures increase, providing greater opportunities for a range of more affordable housing options, the level of housing stress in the community should decline.

Mitcham (C)

Housing stress, 2011	Very low ir <50%			Low income <80%		income %	Total households	
	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	
Households paying more than 25	% of income on	housing						
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	264	7.2	502	8.1	1,021	10.4	2,737	
Rented: Public	97	2.7	113	1.8	116	1.2	116	
Rented: Private and not stated	646	17.7	1,072	17.3	1,497	15.2	1,638	
Rented: Other landlord	100	2.7	122	2.0	132	1.3	135	
Rented: TOTAL	843	23.1	1,307	21.1	1,745	17.7	1,889	
Other tenure types	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total households	1,107	30.3	1,809	29.1	2,766	28.1	4,626	
Households paying more than 30	% of income on	housing						
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	245	6.7	455	7.3	858	8.7	1,818	
Rented: Public	73	2.0	77	1.2	77	8.0	77	
Rented: Private and not stated	632	17.3	995	16.0	1,240	12.6	1,288	
Rented: Other landlord	85	2.3	104	1.7	110	1.1	113	
Rented: TOTAL	790	21.6	1,176	18.9	1,427	14.5	1,478	
Other tenure types	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total households	1,035	28.3	1,631	26.3	2,285	23.2	3,296	
Households paying more than 50	% of income on	housing						
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	190	5.2	303	4.9	402	4.1	540	
Rented: Public	33	0.9	33	0.5	33	0.3	33	
Rented: Private and not stated	460	12.6	532	8.6	544	5.5	551	
Rented: Other landlord	30	8.0	35	0.6	35	0.4	35	
Rented: TOTAL	523	14.3	600	9.7	612	6.2	619	
Other tenure types	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total households	713	19.5	903	14.6	1,014	10.3	1,159	
Total households renting or purc	hasing							
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	374	10.2	724	11.7	1,685	17.1	9,042	
Rented: Public	166	4.5	204	3.3	225	2.3	259	
Rented: Private and not stated	704	19.3	1,193	19.2	1,961	19.9	3,591	
Rented: Other landlord	161	4.4	209	3.4	237	2.4	321	
Rented: TOTAL	1,031	28.2	1,606	25.9	2,423	24.6	4,171	
Other tenure types	2,249	61.5	3,876	62.5	5,726	58.2	10,547	
Total households	3,654	100.0	6,206	100.0	9,834	100.0	23,760	

Source: Based on Australian Bureau of Statistics data, 2011 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Usual Residence



A mix of household sizes and types is desirable in all locations.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

In 2011, Mitcham (C) had a lower proportion of people who were living at a different address five years ago (31.4)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (34.0%). The number of recent movers in Mitcham (C) was 19,776.

What is the current trend?

Migration trends influence the housing form and demand and movement across the metropolitan area is important factor in residential planning.

Non metropolitan areas often have a net migration of young adults from the areas in search of educational and employment opportunities. There is also a 'sea-change' and 'tree-change' phenomenon nationally with older households moving outside inner metropolitan areas, placing greater demands on physical and social infrastructure for the destination communities.



Why use this information?

This indicator provides a measure of recent movers into the Council area and therefore likely future housing need through identifying population and demographic trends that can influence housing demand.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Developing a broad picture of future household profile will assist in determining the likely demand for housing in the future, as differing groups, household types and sizes have differing housing needs.

It also can inform local housing strategies which may promote certain population groups into the community, eg younger workforce in an ageing community.

Mitcham (C)

Age of persons who had a different address in the 2006 Census	Moved between 2006 and 2011				
Census	number	%			
5-9 years	1,524	7.7			
10-14 years	1,244	6.3			
15-29 years	5,818	29.4			
30-44 years	6,100	30.8			
45-59 years	2,952	14.9			
60-74 years	1,241	6.3			
75 and over	897	4.5			
Total persons	19,776	100.0			

Households who had a different address in the 2006 Census by current tenure	Moved be 2006 and		Households who had a different address in the 2006 Census by household income	Moved between 2006 and 2011		
Census by Current tenure	number	%	nousenolu income	number	%	
Fully owned	1,240	15.0	Very low income	966	11.7	
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	3,653	44.2	Low income	712	8.6	
Rented (incl rent-free)	3,057	37.0	Moderate income	1,225	14.8	
Other tenure type (incl life tenure)	204	2.5	High income	4,693	56.8	
Not stated	110	1.3	One or more incomes not stated	668	8.1	
Total households	8,264	100.0	Total households	8,264	100.0	

Source: Based on Australian Bureau of Statistics data, 2011 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Usual Residence

A mix of population groups is desirable in any location, promoting tolerance and providing choice for a diversity of people.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

In 2011, Mitcham (C) had a lower proportion of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders (0.4)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (1.3%). The number of "indigenous persons in Mitcham (C) was 331.

What is the current trend?

Nationally, proportions of households with an Indigenous person continues to grow. Over the last 5 years the median age of Indigenous people has increased and the median household size has decreased.

Why use this information?

Across Australia, Indigenous people experience higher levels of housing need. Indigenous homelessness is 3.5 times and overcrowding almost 6 times the national figures. Indigenous home ownership is less than half the national figure.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A diversity of dwelling types and sometimes specialised services are needed to support a diversity of population groups. Indigenous people, for example typically have larger households and often experience discrimination in the private rental market.

Indigenous persons (Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders)

Mitcham (C)

	2011	2006		
Age group (years)	number	%	number	%
0 to 9	54	13.1	48	15.4
10 to 19	79	19.1	59	18.9
20 to 29	65	15.7	32	10.2
30 to 39	28	6.8	36	11.5
40 to 49	43	10.4	35	11.2
50 to 59	31	7.5	12	3.8
60 and over	31	7.5	28	9.0
Total	331	80.0	250	80.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2011 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Enumeration (Place on Census night)

Stable house and rent prices rising proportionate to household income growth.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

The median house price in Mitcham (C) for the financial year 2011-12 was \$475,500. Between July 2003 and June 2012 the average annual change in median house prices for Mitcham (C) was 6.1%. This rate of change is lower when compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 6.1%.



What is the current trend?

House and land prices have nearly doubled over the past decade across Australia.

Rental prices have been more stable although steadily increasing, in excess of household income growth. Tight vacancy rates and the recent upswing in house prices indicate further price rises in coming years.



Why use this information?

Median prices provide an indication of accessibility of home ownership and rental options.

One of the most pertinent factors preventing households in the private rental market from leaving the tenure and entering home ownership is the rapid increase in house prices.

House prices are derived from data held by the Valuer General and are calculated based on all sales for the previous year.

Median rent prices are made available from the Residential Tenancies Branch in South Australia and is derived from new rent bonds lodged.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Increases in median house and rent prices in excess of household income growth have a negative impact on housing affordability.



Median dwelling prices, 2003 to 2012



Mitcham (C)

	Dwelling type						
Financial year ending June 30	Detached house	Attached dwelling (Maisonettes / Row Houses)	Flat/Unit (Home units / Flats / Townhouses)	Total			
	median price \$	median price \$	median price \$	median price \$			
2003 to 2004	320,000	320,000	175,000	295,000			
2004 to 2005	347,000	356,000	180,000	322,000			
2005 to 2006	361,000	387,500	205,000	339,000			
2006 to 2007	395,000	427,000	211,500	370,000			
2007 to 2008	465,000	492,262	250,000	428,125			
2008 to 2009	478,000	455,000	268,000	440,500			
2009 to 2010	510,000	460,000	291,875	470,000			
2010 to 2011	522,000	495,000	309,376	488,000			
2011 to 2012	505,000	445,500	295,000	475,500			

Source: Valuer Generals Office



A mix of housing tenure options with a strong level of home ownership.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

In 2011, Mitcham (C) had a greater proportion of households purchasing or owning their dwelling (76.2)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (66.1%). The number of households purchasing and owning their dwelling in Mitcham (C) was 18,527.



What is the current trend?

While home ownership rates in SA and nationally have been relatively static since the mid 1980s, there has been an increase in outright ownership and a decrease in those purchasing their home.

The national and South Australian trends indicate a decline in home purchase rates for 25 to 44 year olds. It is unclear at this stage whether the decline among younger households represents a deferral or permanent reduction in purchase and hence ownership rates.

Nationally, there is a substantially lower level of homeownership among the Indigenous population (less than half the national rate).



Why use this information?

Tenure profile provides an indication of housing choices available within a community.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A variety of housing tenures will encourage a mix of people in a community. A vibrant and healthy community needs a wide social mix, in terms of family types, family backgrounds, ages, etc. A wide mix of people in a community will result in a greater diversity of activities and ideas.


Whilst the "Great Australian Dream" of home ownership remains a real goal for most, at some stages in life, there is a need for alternative forms of accommodation. For example, students and other young single people leaving home or older persons wish to downsize but remain within the local community.

Providing greater choice in housing tenure results in greater affordability of housing for all. A wide mix of housing provision in an area will provide a sense of security to existing residents that they and their children can afford to live in their community through all stages of life, should they choose to do so.



Mitcham (C)

Tenure type	Separate house Medium densit			lensity	Other Dwelling High density Structure			Not stated To		Tota	otal	
renure type	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Fully Owned	8,422	42.1	977	23.8	6	4.1	48	60.0	0	0.0	9,453	38.9
Being Purchased (incl rent/buy)	8,342	41.7	714	17.4	10	6.8	3	3.8	5	100.0	9,074	37.3
Rented from State/Territory Housing Authority	80	0.4	145	3.5	39	26.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	264	1.1
Rented from other landlord	2,204	11.0	1,603	39.1	76	52.1	15	18.8	0	0.0	3,898	16.0
Rented and landlord type not stated	13	0.1	29	0.7	5	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	47	0.2
Occupied rent free	133	0.7	44	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	177	0.7
Other Tenure Type	158	0.8	357	8.7	0	0.0	4	5.0	0	0.0	519	2.1
Tenure Not Stated	637	3.2	234	5.7	10	6.8	10	12.5	0	0.0	891	3.7
Total	19,989	100.0	4,103	100.0	146	100.0	80	100.0	5	100.0	24,323	100.0



Increased proportion of house sales which are affordable for low and moderate income households.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

There were 5,885 dwelling sales in the period 2006-2012 in Mitcham (C). The proportion of dwelling sales that were affordable to low income households was 2.5%. This was lower compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 4.5%.

What is the current trend?

The percentage of sales affordable for low and moderate income households has declined dramatically such that only a small percentage of sales are within an affordable range.



Why use this information?

This is an indicator of the feasibility of attaining the Australian dream of home ownership for low and moderate income households.

House prices provide a good indicator of home purchase affordability for a local area.

House prices are derived from data held by the Valuer General.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Low and moderate income households represent 60% of households in the state. With access to a limited percentage of the sales, there will be greater pressure on the private rental market and people's aspirations for home ownership will not be realised.

Price Points -	200	6-2007	200	7-2008	2008-2009		
Home purchase	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	
Very Low Income	\$91,000	\$79,000	\$88,000	\$79,000	\$106,000	\$98,000	
Low Income	\$145,000	\$127,000	\$141,000	\$126,000	\$170,000	\$157,000	
Median Income	\$181,000	\$158,000	\$176,000	\$158,000	\$212,000	\$196,000	
Moderate Income	\$218,000	\$190,000	\$211,000	\$189,000	\$255,000	\$235,000	

200	9-2010	201	0-2011	2011-2012		
Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	
\$120,000	\$102,000	\$100,000	\$80,000	\$113,000	\$89,000	
\$192,000	\$182,000	\$161,000	\$128,000	\$180,000	\$142,000	
\$240,000	\$227,000	\$201,000	\$160,000	\$225,000	\$177,000	
\$288,000	\$273,000	\$241,000	\$192,000	\$271,000	\$213,000	
	\$120,000 \$192,000 \$240,000	\$120,000 \$102,000 \$192,000 \$182,000 \$240,000 \$227,000	Capital City Rest of State Capital City \$120,000 \$102,000 \$100,000 \$192,000 \$182,000 \$161,000 \$240,000 \$227,000 \$201,000	Capital City Rest of State Capital City Rest of State \$120,000 \$102,000 \$100,000 \$80,000 \$192,000 \$182,000 \$161,000 \$128,000 \$240,000 \$227,000 \$201,000 \$160,000	Capital City Rest of State Capital City Rest of State Capital City \$120,000 \$102,000 \$100,000 \$80,000 \$113,000 \$192,000 \$182,000 \$161,000 \$128,000 \$180,000 \$240,000 \$227,000 \$201,000 \$160,000 \$225,000	

Please note: based on current RBA bank rate and 5% deposit



Mitcham (C)

All percentage figures refer to the percentage of the total sales for that year.

Affordable house sales	Detached and semi-detac	semi-detached houses Flats, units and apartments Total pri		rivate sales			
Year ending June 30	number	%	number	%	number	%	
very low income househ	nolds (up to 50% of the me	dian income)					
2006-07	14	1.4	*	0.1-0.5	15	1.5	
2007-08	8	0.8	0	0.0	10	1.0	
2008-09	8	0.9	*	0.1-0.6	10	1.1	
2009-10	7	0.7	*	0.1-0.5	10	0.9	
2010-11	*	0.1-0.5	*	0.1-0.5	5	0.5	
2011-12	*	0.1-0.5	*	0.1-0.5	5	0.5	
Total	45	0.8	10	0.2	55	0.9	
low income households	(up to 80% of the median	income)					
2006-07	25	2.6	19	1.9	45	4.6	
2007-08	13	1.3	*	0.1-0.5	15	1.5	
2008-09	13	1.4	8	0.9	20	2.2	
2009-10	22	2.0	14	1.3	35	3.3	
2010-11	7	0.7	*	0.1-0.5	10	1.0	
2011-12	11	1.1	6	0.6	15	1.6	
Total	90	1.5	50	0.8	145	2.5	
moderate income house	eholds (up to 120% of the n	nedian income)					
2006-07	35	3.6	89	9.1	125	12.8	
2007-08	28	2.9	64	6.6	90	9.3	
2008-09	29	3.2	67	7.4	95	10.5	
2009-10	43	4.0	97	9.0	140	13.0	
2010-11	16	1.6	24	2.4	40	4.0	
2011-12	22	2.3	55	5.7	75	7.8	
Total	175	3.0	395	6.7	570	9.7	
Total properties							
2006-07	820	83.7	160	16.3	980	100.0	
2007-08	780	80.4	190	19.6	970	100.0	
2008-09	745	82.3	160	17.7	905	100.0	
2009-10	890	82.8	185	17.2	1,075	100.0	
2010-11	830	83.8	160	16.2	990	100.0	
2011-12	805	83.9	155	16.1	960	100.0	
Total	4,875	82.8	1,010	17.2	5,885	100.0	
· = :=::	1,070	02.0	.,510		0,000		

Source: South Australian Department for Communities and Social Inclusion, 2013

Where there are 1 to 5 dwellings the number is replaced with a "*"

All totals have been rounded to the nearest $\boldsymbol{5}$

[©] Copyright in the underlying property sales data belongs to the South Australian Government. The Land Services Group is custodian of this property sales data.



An increase in the percentage of rental properties which have affordable rents.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

The proportion of private rents that were affordable to low income households between July 2006 and June 2012 in Mitcham (C) was 42.2%. This was lower compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 43.5%, and represented 9,175 private rentals in Mitcham (C) during the period.

What is the current trend?

While the SA private rental market continues to grow (17.0% of all dwellings in 2006 to 19.3% in 2011), the proportion of low cost private rental stock has decreased at a time when the proportion of low income private renters has increased.

Why use this information?

An affordable rent is calculated to be 30% of weekly gross income.

Household incomes, the bases for determining very low, low and moderate income households, have been calculated from Census data and indexed by CPI in gap years.

The data is made available from the Residential Tenancies Branch in South Australia and is derived from new rent bonds lodged.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Private rental market continues to grow in South Australia, particularly as social housing stock levels and home purchase opportunities decline. Renters are also in the private rental market for longer periods of time with around 40% renting for longer than 10 years. The most dramatic change in the private rental market in South Australia has been the increase in low income households. Access into low cost stock by low income people is further limited as more than half of low cost rental properties are being occupied by households who could afford to pay more(a).

(a) Yates J., Wulff M., and Burke T. (2000) Low Rent Housing in Australia 1986 - 1996 and 2001

Price Points -	200	6-2007	200	7-2008	2008-2009		
Rental	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	
Very Low Income	\$145	\$127	\$152	\$136	\$159	\$147	
Low Income	\$232	\$202	\$243	\$218	\$255	\$235	
Median Income	\$290	\$253	\$304	\$273	\$318	\$294	
Moderate Income	\$348	\$304	\$365	\$327	\$382	\$353	
Price Points - Rental	2009	9-2010	201	0-2011	20	11-2012	
nona.	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	
Very Low Income	\$167	\$142	\$166	\$132	\$172	\$135	
Low Income	\$267	\$253	\$265	\$211	\$275	\$216	
Median Income	\$334	\$317	\$332	\$264	\$344	\$271	
Moderate Income	\$400	\$380	\$398	\$317	\$413	\$325	

齫

Mitcham (C)

All percentage figures refer to the percentage of the total private rentals for that year.

etached and semi-detached	semi-detached houses Flats, units and apartment		apartments			е	
number	%	number	%	number	%		
ds (up to 50% of the median	1)						
50	3.4	126	8.5	185	12.4		
60	3.8	114	7.3	180	11.5		
51	3.5	80	5.4	150	10.2		
53	3.6	84	5.8	135	9.2		
59	4.0	63	4.2	130	8.7		
37	2.2	101	6.0	150	8.9		
310	3.4	570	6.2	935	10.2		
to 80% of the median inco	ome)						
198	13.3	549	36.8	760	51.0		
158	10.1	563	35.9	735	46.8		
138	9.4	482	32.7	640	43.4		
130	8.9	485	33.2	615	42.1		
108	7.2	393	26.4	510	34.2		
103	6.1	500	29.6	615	36.4		
835	9.1	2,970	32.4	3,870	42.2		
lds (up to 120% of the medi	ian income)						
696	46.7	615	41.3	1,320	88.6		
723	46.1	667	42.5	1,400	89.2		
666	45.2	604	40.9	1,290	87.5		
659	45.1	623	42.7		87.7		
580	38.9	618	41.5	1,210	81.2		
				•			
4,010	43.7	3,830	41.7	7,905	86.2		
855	57.4	625	41.9	1,490	100.0		
885	56.4	675	43.0	1,570	100.0		
				•			
				•			
5,240			42.2	9,175			
	number ds (up to 50% of the median 50 60 51 53 59 37 310 0 to 80% of the median incomplete incomple	18 (up to 50% of the median) 50 3.4 60 3.8 51 3.5 53 3.6 59 4.0 37 2.2 310 3.4 10 to 80% of the median income) 198 13.3 158 10.1 138 9.4 130 8.9 108 7.2 103 6.1 835 9.1 104 (up to 120% of the median income) 696 46.7 723 46.1 666 45.2 659 45.1 580 38.9 687 40.7 4,010 43.7 855 57.4 885 56.4 845 57.3 830 56.8 860 57.7 970 57.4	number % number ds (up to 50% of the median) 50 3.4 126 60 3.8 114 51 3.5 80 53 3.6 84 59 4.0 63 37 2.2 101 310 3.4 570 50 to 80% of the median income) 50 198 13.3 549 158 10.1 563 138 9.4 482 130 8.9 485 108 7.2 393 103 6.1 500 835 9.1 2,970 10ds (up to 120% of the median income) 666 45.2 604 659 45.1 667 665 666 45.2 604 659 45.1 623 580 38.9 618 687 40.7 703 4,010 43.7 3,830 686 675	number % number % ds (up to 50% of the median) 3.4 126 8.5 50 3.4 126 8.5 60 3.8 1114 7.3 51 3.5 80 5.4 53 3.6 84 5.8 59 4.0 63 4.2 37 2.2 101 6.0 310 3.4 570 6.2 50 40 63 4.2 37 2.2 101 6.0 310 3.4 570 6.2 50 40 63 4.2 310 3.4 570 6.2 50 40 570 6.2 50 40 570 6.2 198 13.3 549 36.8 158 10.1 563 35.9 138 9.4 482 32.7 130 8.9 485	Number N	Number % Number % Number % Number %	

Source: South Australian Department for Communities and Social Inclusion, 2013

Where there are 1 to 5 dwellings the number is replaced with a "*" All totals have been rounded to the nearest 5 $\,$

[©] Copyright in the underlying property sales data belongs to the South Australian Government. The Land Services Group is custodian of this property sales data.

Dwelling types which reflect the changing nature of households in South Australia, particularly the prevalence of single and smaller households.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

In 2011, Mitcham (C) had a greater proportion of dwellings with only one or two bedrooms (24.7)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (23.5%). The number of one and two bedroom dwellings in Mitcham (C) was 6,012.

What is the current trend?

The market is supplying more large (3 and 4 bedroom) stock and less small stock (1 and 2 bedroom), even though household size is decreasing, both nationally and in SA.

While the size of the average new house continues to increase, the average household size in South Australia continues to decline.

Why use this information?

Dwelling types and size provides an indication of housing choice in the area.

Combined with recent development data, it provides a useful profile of the community's housing supply.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Providing greater choice in housing types and sizes results in greater levels of social mix and enhanced community sustainability as people, across all stages of life can find suitable and affordable housing within the community.

Dwelling type by number of bedrooms, 2011

Mitcham (C)

Number of bedrooms	Other Dwelling Separate house Medium density High density Structure Not stated		Total									
Number of beardons	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
None (includes bedsitters)	23	0.1	13	0.3	3	2.1	27	34.6	0	0.0	66	0.3
1 bedroom	203	1.0	519	12.6	34	24.3	28	35.9	0	0.0	784	3.2
2 bedrooms	2,574	12.9	2,554	62.2	95	67.9	5	6.4	0	0.0	5,228	21.5
3 bedrooms	10,257	51.3	729	17.7	0	0.0	11	14.1	3	50.0	11,000	45.2
4 bedrooms	5,278	26.4	81	2.0	0	0.0	3	3.8	0	0.0	5,362	22.0
5+ bedrooms	1,199	6.0	18	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,217	5.0
Not stated	457	2.3	194	4.7	8	5.7	4	5.1	3	50.0	666	2.7
Total	19,991	100.0	4,108	100.0	140	100.0	78	100.0	6	100.0	24,323	100.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2011 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Enumeration (Place on Census night)

🤼 Recent development trends by dwelling type

Mitcham (C)

What is the desired trend?

Increasing diversity of housing type, particularly around transport nodes and activity centres.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

The percentage of new residential dwellings which were flats, units or apartments in Mitcham (C) between July 2008 and June 2012 was 32.6%. This was greater compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 26.0%, and represented 286 new flats, units or apartments approved in Mitcham (C) during the period.



What is the current trend?

SA has been dominated by detached dwellings with 2 or more bedrooms. The changing ageing demographic profile of SA and average number of people per household suggests a need for a greater diversity of housing and specifically smaller dwellings to accommodate smaller households.



Why use this information?

Residential development trends can inform the adoption of strategic priorities for the future.

Comparing current profile of the community with recent movers as well as recent development trends describes the future trajectory for the Council.

Building approval data is collected by the ABS.



Recent residential development by type, 2008 to 2012 (financial years)

Mitcham (C)

			Dwelling ty	/ре				
	Separate h	ouses	Medium d	ensity	High den	sity	Tota	al
Financial year ending June 30	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
2008 to 2009	114	19.3	38	13.3	0	0.0	152	17.4
2009 to 2010	162	27.5	146	51.0	0	0.0	308	35.2
2010 to 2011	163	27.6	67	23.4	0	0.0	230	26.3
2011 to 2012	151	25.6	35	12.2	0	0.0	186	21.2
Total 2008 to 2012	590	67.4	286	32.6	0	0.0	876	100.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics

A proportion of local social housing stock relative to housing need.

What is the current situation in Mitcham (C)?

As at June 2012 the total stock of social housing in Mitcham (C) was 399 dwellings. This comprised of:

Community Housing: 125

Public Housing: 274

What is the current trend?

Consistent with national trends, South Australia public housing stock numbers continues to decline, while Community and Indigenous managed social housing stock has increased.



Why use this information?

Stock levels are an indication of the availability of publicly funding housing options available to the local community.

Data is sourced from the Department for Communities and Social Inclusion. Public housing figures vary from those reported in the Census making calculations of proportion to all households difficult.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

The provision of publicly funded social housing within the community provides housing opportunities for those people who have needs in addition to affordability and links the housing response to other support services necessary for them to maintain their tenancy.

Areas with a low percentage of publicly funded housing will mean that family or community members who require such assistance will need to move out of the community to access appropriate housing.

There are also a number of urban renewal areas across the state that have had a higher concentration of public housing stock and efforts are progressing to reconfigure housing stock to meet the current needs of the community.



Social housing stock

Mitcham (C)

As at June 2012		Greater Adelaide Statistical Area		
AC di Galle 2012	number	% Greater Adelaide Statistical Area total	number	
Community Housing	125	2.8	4,395	
Public Housing	274	0.8	34,342	
Total social housing stock	399	1.0	38,737	

Source: South Australian Department for Communities and Social Inclusion, 2012