

Prospect (C)

HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

DEMAND AND SUPPLY BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA

12 APRIL 2013



Data included:

Low and moderate income households

Household and family types

Age of household reference person

Housing stress

Recent movers

Indigenous persons

Dwelling prices

Tenure Diversity

Affordable house sales

Affordable private rents Dwelling type

Recent development trends by dwelling type


Social housing stock




🐞 Housing Affordability - Prospect (C)

The complexity surrounding affordability means that there is no one best measure for assessing the nature and degree of housing affordability problems.

This report describes the extent and general nature of local housing needs. A summary of the report is provided below.







	Housing Demand Prospect (C)	Less pressure on affordable housing market = More pressure on affordable housing market = Stable pressure on affordable housing market =	<u> 1</u>
1	Low and moderate income households	Percentage of low income households (less than 80% of state median) 2011: 28.0%. [Greater Adelaide: 33.1%]	1
2	Household and family types	Percentage change in the number of households between 2006 and 2011: 1.3%. [Greater Adelaide: 5.5%]	1
3	Age of household reference person	Percentage of households where the household reference person is aged 60 years or over: 26.2%. [Greater Adelaide: 32.1%]	1
4	Housing stress, 2011	Percentage of private renter households paying more than 25% of their weekly gross household income on housing: 43.7%. [Greater Adelaide: 76.1%]	•
5	Recent movers (2006 - 2011)	Percentage of people who were living at a different address five years ago (2011 data): 34.9%. [Greater Adelaide: 34.0%]	1
6	Indigenous persons (Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders)	Indigenous persons by age group, 2011: 0.6%. [Greater Adelaide: 1.3%]	•
	Housing Supply Prospect (C)		
7	Dwelling prices	Average annual percentage change in median dwelling prices, 2003-04 to 2011-12: 7.0%. [Greater Adelaide: 6.1%]	1
8	Tenure Diversity, 2011	Percentage of households who own, or are purchasing their dwelling, 2011: 63.4%. [Greater Adelaide: 66.1%]	1
9	Affordable house sales	Proportion of dwelling sales that were affordable to low income households between July 2006 and June 2012 : 2.8%. [Greater Adelaide: 4.5%]	1
10	Affordable private rents	Proportion of private rents that were affordable to low income households between July 2006 and June 2012 : 42.6%. [Greater Adelaide: 43.5%]	1
11	Dwelling type	Percentage of dwellings with one or two bedrooms: 36.7%. [Greater Adelaide: 23.5%]	1
12	Recent development trends by dwelling type	Percentage of new residential dwellings which were flats, units or apartments, July 2008 to June 2012: 31.0%. [Greater Adelaide: 26.0%]	1

Low and moderate income households



Prospect (C)



What is the desired trend?

A mix of household incomes is desirable in any location.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

Very Low and Low income households are defined as those households earning up to 80% of the State's median income. In 2011 80% of the State's median income was \$835 per week.

In 2011, Prospect (C) has a lower proportion of very low and low income households (28.0)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (33.1%).

The number of very low and low income households in Prospect (C) was 2,189.



What is the current trend?

South Australia is a lower income state, with lower median household incomes than the eastern states.

As affordability declines, lower income households concentrate in areas which are less well located, often further from employment, education and other services.



Why use this information?

Understanding the mix of household types in a community informs the demand and need for housing.

The median household income is the household income at which half the households have more income and half have less income.

The low and moderate income households indicator uses the following widely used description for very low, low and moderate income households.

- Very low income 50% of median household income
- Low income 80% of median household income
- Moderate income 120% of median household income

As housing markets operate regionally, calculations of very low, low and moderate income households are based on two medians - one for metropolitan and one for rest of state.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A vibrant and healthy community needs a broad social mix - in terms of family types, family backgrounds, incomes, ages, etc. A wide mix of people in a community will result in a greater diversity of activities and

A larger concentration of higher income households and people in stable employment will drive up house prices and rents beyond the affordability of lower income households and those employed on a flexible basis.



Household income by tenure type, 2011



Prospect (C)

Tenure type	Very lo incon (<50% media	ne of	Low in (50%-8 med	0% of	Mode income 120% of	(80%-	High in (>120° medi	% of	Income stat		Tot	tal
	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	104	7.6	110	13.3	257	22.1	1,922	51.0	244	34.8	2,637	33.7
Rented: Public	163	12.0	35	4.2	17	1.5	17	0.5	25	3.6	257	3.3
Rented: Private and not stated	319	23.4	249	30.1	465	39.9	788	20.9	145	20.7	1,966	25.1
Rented: Other landlord	86	6.3	27	3.3	31	2.7	18	0.5	9	1.3	171	2.2
Other tenure types	690	50.7	406	49.1	395	33.9	1,020	27.1	279	39.7	2,790	35.7
Total	1,362	100.0	827	100.0	1,165	100.0	3,765	100.0	702	100.0	7,821	100.0



A mix of household sizes and types is desirable in all locations.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

Between 2006 and 2011 the percentage change in total households for Prospect (C) was 1.3%. This rate of change was lower than that in the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area which experienced a 5.5% increase.



What is the current trend?

Household formation rates continue to exceed population growth.

National household size is reducing and there are less people living in each home. This decline has been attributed to declining fertility rates, ageing of the population, higher divorce rates and preferences for living alone.

The exception to this trend is the Indigenous community. The average household size with at least one Indigenous person was 3.5 people.



Why use this information?

Informs the extent of the demand and need for different housing types.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Housing demand is fuelled more by household formation rates than it is by growth of total population. This means that there is often a continued growth for housing, even in areas of no or low population growth.

Nationally, single person households are the fastest growing household type. There is limited housing stock affordable for them, even in lower demand areas.

Household and Family types	2011	200)6	Change 2006 to 2011		
Tousener and Family types	number	%	number	%	number	%
Couple Families with Children 15 or over	765	15.0	688	14.2	77	11.2
Couple Families with Children under 15	1,680	32.9	1,576	32.5	104	6.6
Total couples with child(ren)	2,445	47.9	2,264	46.7	181	8.0
One Parent Families with Children 15 or over	396	7.8	337	7.0	59	17.5
One Parent Families with Children under 15	291	5.7	328	6.8	-37	-11.3
Total one parent families	687	13.5	665	13.8	22	3.3
Other Families	132	2.6	140	2.9	-8	-5.7
Couple Families with No Children	1,837	36.0	1,775	36.6	62	3.5
Total families	5,101	100.0	4,844	100.0	257	5.3
One Family Households	4,976	61.7	4,778	60.0	198	4.1
Two or more family households	60	0.7	34	0.4	26	76.5
Total family households	5,036	62.4	4,812	60.4	224	4.7
Lone person household	2,291	28.4	2,350	29.5	-59	-2.5
Group household	493	6.1	468	5.9	25	5.3
Other Households	247	3.1	335	4.2	-88	-26.3
Total households	8,067	100.0	7,965	100.0	102	1.3
Average household size	2.4		2.4		0.0	0.0
(Average number of people per household)						

퇺 Age of household reference person

Prospect (C)

What is the desired trend?

A mix of age groups is desirable for any location.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

In 2011, Prospect (C) had a lower proportion of households with a household reference person (or "head") aged 60 years or older (26.2)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (32.1%). The number of "older" households in Prospect (C) was 2,118.



What is the current trend?

SA is ageing faster than the rest of Australia and 22.2% of its population is expected to be aged 65 or more by 2021 compared to 18.7% nationally.

Age profiles vary across housing tenures, with older persons predominately in outright homeownership.



Why use this information?

The indicator provides an age profile of heads of households and its influence on housing need and demand.

Data on the age profile of the population (as opposed to head of household) is available from the ABS.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

An age profile of a community effects relative housing need. Age cohorts provide an indication of likely housing demand.


- Young people (typically 15-24 years) often experience the highest incidence of housing stress and require housing options that support a transition to independence.
- Households in the mid 20s to 40s are often starting a family and seeking opportunities to enter homeownership.
- Mature aged householders in their 50s and 60s are more likely to be outright owners. Those in private rental are unlikely to enter homeownership at this stage in life.
- Older households (over 65) are often asset rich but income poor and looking for housing options which enable ageing within their community or support services that assists them to age in place.

Prospect (C)

Are of household reference moreone	2011				
Age of household reference persons	number	%			
15-29 years	1,078	13.4			
30-44 years	2,325	28.8			
45-59 years	2,303	28.5			
60-74 years	1,231	15.3			
75 and over	887	11.0			
No Matches	248	3.1			
Total	8,072	100.0			

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2011 Census of Population and Housing

Data based on Place of Usual Residence



Reduction in the proportion of households, particularly private renters, in housing stress and extreme stress.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

In 2011, Prospect (C) had a lower proportion of private renter households (includes those with a landlord type of 'other') who were earning a moderate income or less and paying more than 25% of their income on housing (72.9)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (76.1%). The number of private renter households earning a moderate income or less which are in housing stress in Prospect (C) was 858.

What is the current trend?

While increasing in total numbers, the proportion of households in housing stress increased in SA from 2006 to 2011.

Housing stress affects some tenures disproportionately, with private tenants bearing the brunt of declining housing affordability, and increasingly first homebuyers.

Why use this information?

Housing stress is an indicator of housing need, which was initially developed by the National Housing Strategy in 1990/91 and is widely used across Australia.

The South Australian Strategic Plan sets a target to lead the nation over the period to 2020 in the proportion of low income households not experiencing housing stress. It describes housing stress as low income households (lowest 40% of incomes) paying more than 25% of gross household income for private rental or 30% for mortgage repayments.

Low and moderate income households paying more than 50% of their gross household income on housing are often considered to be in extreme housing stress.

Housing stress calculations are limited to the proportion of income paid on rent and mortgage payments, as reported through the Census. Affordability issues are compounded often by associated energy and water consumption and transport costs. However these are difficult to quantify, given variations in household type, health and lifestyle preferences and employment patterns and have therefore been excluded.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A household that is in stress is less likely to be able to contribute to community life, either due to the need to work longer hours to pay the bills, or simply because there isn't enough money for other activities.

The housing stress problem manifests itself in different ways, such as moving to a lower priced area (and hence often away from job opportunities), postponement of child bearing or family breakdown.


As alternative housing forms and tenures increase, providing greater opportunities for a range of more affordable housing options, the level of housing stress in the community should decline.

圃

Prospect (C)

Housing stress, 2011	Very low income <50%		Low income <80%		Moderate i <120		Total households	
	number	%	number	per % number %		%	number	
Households paying more than 25	% of income on	housing						
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	73	5.4	149	6.8	311	9.3	848	
Rented: Public	67	4.9	80	3.7	86	2.6	86	
Rented: Private and not stated	294	21.6	509	23.3	763	22.7	838	
Rented: Other landlord	65	4.8	79	3.6	95	2.8	95	
Rented: TOTAL	426	31.3	668	30.5	944	28.1	1,019	
Other tenure types	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total households	499	36.6	817	37.3	1,255	37.4	1,867	
Households paying more than 30	% of income on	housing						
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	67	4.9	131	6.0	260	7.8	573	
Rented: Public	36	2.6	39	1.8	42	1.3	42	
Rented: Private and not stated	286	21.0	474	21.7	610	18.2	631	
Rented: Other landlord	45	3.3	54	2.5	61	1.8	61	
Rented: TOTAL	367	26.9	567	25.9	713	21.3	734	
Other tenure types	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total households	434	31.9	698	31.9	973	29.0	1,307	
Households paying more than 50	% of income on	housing						
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	50	3.7	76	3.5	109	3.2	146	
Rented: Public	17	1.2	17	0.8	17	0.5	17	
Rented: Private and not stated	216	15.9	257	11.7	261	7.8	266	
Rented: Other landlord	18	1.3	18	0.8	18	0.5	18	
Rented: TOTAL	251	18.4	292	13.3	296	8.8	301	
Other tenure types	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total households	301	22.1	368	16.8	405	12.1	447	
Total households renting or purc	hasing							
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	104	7.6	214	9.8	471	14.0	2,637	
Rented: Public	163	12.0	198	9.0	215	6.4	257	
Rented: Private and not stated	319	23.4	568	25.9	1,033	30.8	1,966	
Rented: Other landlord	86	6.3	113	5.2	144	4.3	171	
Rented: TOTAL	568	41.7	879	40.2	1,392	41.5	2,394	
Other tenure types	690	50.7	1,096	50.1	1,491	44.5	2,790	
Total households	1,362	100.0	2,189	100.0	3,354	100.0	7,821	

Source: Based on Australian Bureau of Statistics data, 2011 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Usual Residence





A mix of household sizes and types is desirable in all locations.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

In 2011, Prospect (C) had a greater proportion of people who were living at a different address five years ago (34.8)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (34.0%). The number of recent movers in Prospect (C) was 6,954.

What is the current trend?

Migration trends influence the housing form and demand and movement across the metropolitan area is important factor in residential planning.

Non metropolitan areas often have a net migration of young adults from the areas in search of educational and employment opportunities. There is also a 'sea-change' and 'tree-change' phenomenon nationally with older households moving outside inner metropolitan areas, placing greater demands on physical and social infrastructure for the destination communities.



Why use this information?

This indicator provides a measure of recent movers into the Council area and therefore likely future housing need through identifying population and demographic trends that can influence housing demand.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Developing a broad picture of future household profile will assist in determining the likely demand for housing in the future, as differing groups, household types and sizes have differing housing needs.

It also can inform local housing strategies which may promote certain population groups into the community, eg younger workforce in an ageing community.

Prospect (C)

Age of persons who had a different address in the 2006 Census	Moved between 2006 and 2011				
Census	number	%			
5-9 years	436	6.3			
10-14 years	282	4.1			
15-29 years	2,647	38.1			
30-44 years	2,293	33.0			
45-59 years	849	12.2			
60-74 years	286	4.1			
75 and over	161	2.3			
Total persons	6,954	100.0			

Households who had a different address in the 2006 Census by current tenure	Moved be 2006 and		Households who had a different address in the 2006 Census by household income	Moved between 2006 and 2011		
Census by Current tenure	number	%	nousenola income	number	%	
Fully owned	318	10.1	Very low income	388	12.3	
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	1,053	33.4	Low income	288	9.1	
Rented (incl rent-free)	1,726	54.7	Moderate income	568	18.0	
Other tenure type (incl life tenure)	25	0.8	High income	1,680	53.2	
Not stated	34	1.1	One or more incomes not stated	232	7.4	
Total households	3,156	100.0	Total households	3,156	100.0	

Source: Based on Australian Bureau of Statistics data, 2011 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Usual Residence

A mix of population groups is desirable in any location, promoting tolerance and providing choice for a diversity of people.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

In 2011, Prospect (C) had a lower proportion of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders (0.6)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (1.3%). The number of "indigenous persons in Prospect (C) was 159.

What is the current trend?

Nationally, proportions of households with an Indigenous person continues to grow. Over the last 5 years the median age of Indigenous people has increased and the median household size has decreased.

Why use this information?

Across Australia, Indigenous people experience higher levels of housing need. Indigenous homelessness is 3.5 times and overcrowding almost 6 times the national figures. Indigenous home ownership is less than half the national figure.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A diversity of dwelling types and sometimes specialised services are needed to support a diversity of population groups. Indigenous people, for example typically have larger households and often experience discrimination in the private rental market.

Indigenous persons (Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders)

Prospect (C)

	2011	2006		
Age group (years)	number	%	number	%
0 to 9	25	12.6	51	23.3
10 to 19	25	12.6	29	13.3
20 to 29	29	14.6	31	14.2
30 to 39	22	11.1	27	12.3
40 to 49	26	13.1	17	7.8
50 to 59	26	13.1	8	3.7
60 and over	6	3.0	12	5.5
Total	159	80.0	175	80.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2011 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Enumeration (Place on Census night)

Stable house and rent prices rising proportionate to household income growth.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

The median house price in Prospect (C) for the financial year 2011-12 was \$510,000. Between July 2003 and June 2012 the average annual change in median house prices for Prospect (C) was 7.0%. This rate of change is greater when compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 6.1%.



What is the current trend?

House and land prices have nearly doubled over the past decade across Australia.

Rental prices have been more stable although steadily increasing, in excess of household income growth. Tight vacancy rates and the recent upswing in house prices indicate further price rises in coming years.



Why use this information?

Median prices provide an indication of accessibility of home ownership and rental options.

One of the most pertinent factors preventing households in the private rental market from leaving the tenure and entering home ownership is the rapid increase in house prices.

House prices are derived from data held by the Valuer General and are calculated based on all sales for the previous year.

Median rent prices are made available from the Residential Tenancies Branch in South Australia and is derived from new rent bonds lodged.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Increases in median house and rent prices in excess of household income growth have a negative impact on housing affordability.



Median dwelling prices, 2003 to 2012

Prospect (C)

		Dwelling type		
Financial year ending June 30	Detached house	Attached dwelling (Maisonettes / Row Houses)	Flat/Unit (Home units / Flats / Townhouses)	Total
	median price \$	median price \$	median price \$	median price \$
2003 to 2004	335,000	277,500	170,000	296,000
2004 to 2005	350,000	317,500	195,500	311,000
2005 to 2006	366,000	295,000	208,000	318,750
2006 to 2007	401,750	327,500	223,000	350,008
2007 to 2008	485,000	440,000	269,000	420,000
2008 to 2009	501,878	370,000	280,000	420,000
2009 to 2010	551,500	400,000	272,250	462,500
2010 to 2011	576,000	413,875	312,500	481,500
2011 to 2012	558,500	450,000	281,500	510,000
Source: Valuer Conerele Office				

Source: Valuer Generals Office



A mix of housing tenure options with a strong level of home ownership.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

In 2011, Prospect (C) had a lower proportion of households purchasing or owning their dwelling (63.4)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (66.1%). The number of households purchasing and owning their dwelling in Prospect (C) was 5,115.

What is the current trend?

While home ownership rates in SA and nationally have been relatively static since the mid 1980s, there has been an increase in outright ownership and a decrease in those purchasing their home.

The national and South Australian trends indicate a decline in home purchase rates for 25 to 44 year olds. It is unclear at this stage whether the decline among younger households represents a deferral or permanent reduction in purchase and hence ownership rates.

Nationally, there is a substantially lower level of homeownership among the Indigenous population (less than half the national rate).

Why use this information?

Tenure profile provides an indication of housing choices available within a community.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A variety of housing tenures will encourage a mix of people in a community. A vibrant and healthy community needs a wide social mix, in terms of family types, family backgrounds, ages, etc. A wide mix of people in a community will result in a greater diversity of activities and ideas.

Whilst the "Great Australian Dream" of home ownership remains a real goal for most, at some stages in life, there is a need for alternative forms of accommodation. For example, students and other young single people leaving home or older persons wish to downsize but remain within the local community.

Providing greater choice in housing tenure results in greater affordability of housing for all. A wide mix of housing provision in an area will provide a sense of security to existing residents that they and their children can afford to live in their community through all stages of life, should they choose to do so.

Prospect (C)

Tenure type	Separate house Medium density			Other Dwelling High density Structure			Not stated Total		al			
rendre type	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Fully Owned	2,200	37.6	269	12.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,469	30.6
Being Purchased (incl rent/buy)	2,259	38.6	387	17.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2,646	32.8
Rented from State/Territory Housing Authority	76	1.3	182	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	258	3.2
Rented from other landlord	1,000	17.1	1,136	51.6	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	2,144	26.6
Rented and landlord type not stated	5	0.1	13	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	0.2
Occupied rent free	46	0.8	22	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	68	0.8
Other Tenure Type	25	0.4	49	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	74	0.9
Tenure Not Stated	243	4.2	143	6.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	386	4.8
Total	5,854	100.0	2,201	100.0	0	100.0	8	100.0	0	100.0	8,063	100.0



Increased proportion of house sales which are affordable for low and moderate income households.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

There were 1,755 dwelling sales in the period 2006-2012 in Prospect (C). The proportion of dwelling sales that were affordable to low income households was 2.8%. This was lower compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 4.5%.



What is the current trend?

The percentage of sales affordable for low and moderate income households has declined dramatically such that only a small percentage of sales are within an affordable range.



Why use this information?

This is an indicator of the feasibility of attaining the Australian dream of home ownership for low and moderate income households.

House prices provide a good indicator of home purchase affordability for a local area.

House prices are derived from data held by the Valuer General.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Low and moderate income households represent 60% of households in the state. With access to a limited percentage of the sales, there will be greater pressure on the private rental market and people's aspirations for home ownership will not be realised.

Price Points -	200	6-2007	200	7-2008	2008-2009		
Home purchase	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	
Very Low Income	\$91,000	\$79,000	\$88,000	\$79,000	\$106,000	\$98,000	
Low Income	\$145,000	\$127,000	\$141,000	\$126,000	\$170,000	\$157,000	
Median Income	\$181,000	\$158,000	\$176,000	\$158,000	\$212,000	\$196,000	
Moderate Income	\$218,000	\$190,000	\$211,000	\$189,000	\$255,000	\$235,000	

Price Points -	2009	9-2010	201	0-2011	2011-2012		
Home purchase	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	
Very Low Income	\$120,000	\$102,000	\$100,000	\$80,000	\$113,000	\$89,000	
Low Income	\$192,000	\$182,000	\$161,000	\$128,000	\$180,000	\$142,000	
Median Income	\$240,000	\$227,000	\$201,000	\$160,000	\$225,000	\$177,000	
Moderate Income	\$288,000	\$273,000	\$241,000	\$192,000	\$271,000	\$213,000	

Please note: based on current RBA bank rate and 5% deposit



Prospect (C)

All percentage figures refer to the percentage of the total sales for that year.

Affordable house sales	Detached and semi-detac	ched houses	Flats, units and	l apartments	Total pr	ivate sales	
Year ending June 30	number	%	number	%	number	%	
very low income househ	nolds (up to 50% of the me	dian income)					
2006-07	8	2.6	0	0.0	10	3.3	
2007-08	*	0.4-1.8	*	0.4-1.8	*	0.4-1.8	
2008-09	*	0.3-1.7	0	0.0	*	0.3-1.7	
2009-10	*	0.3-1.7	*	0.3-1.7	*	0.3-1.7	
2010-11	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
2011-12	*	0.4-1.8	0	0.0	*	0.4-1.8	
Total	15	0.9	*	0.1-0.3	20	1.1	
low income households	(up to 80% of the median	income)					
2006-07	9	3.0	11	3.6	20	6.6	
2007-08	*	0.4-1.8	*	0.4-1.8	10	3.6	
2008-09	*	0.3-1.7	*	0.3-1.7	5	1.7	
2009-10	7	2.4	*	0.3-1.7	10	3.4	
2010-11	*	0.3-1.6	*	0.3-1.6	*	0.3-1.6	
2011-12	*	0.4-1.8	0	0.0	*	0.4-1.8	
Total	30	1.7	20	1.1	50	2.8	
moderate income house	eholds (up to 120% of the n	nedian income)				
2006-07	18	5.9	33	10.8	50	16.4	
2007-08	8	2.9	16	5.7	25	8.9	
2008-09	8	2.8	30	10.3	40	13.8	
2009-10	18	6.1	42	14.2	60	20.3	
2010-11	*	0.3-1.6	8	2.5	10	3.2	
2011-12	6	2.2	23	8.4	30	10.9	
Total	60	3.4	150	8.5	215	12.3	
Total properties							
2006-07	235	77.0	75	24.6	305	100.0	
2007-08	225	80.4	55	19.6	280	100.0	
2008-09	220	75.9	70	24.1	290	100.0	
2009-10	230	78.0	65	22.0	295	100.0	
2010-11	250	79.4	65	20.6	315	100.0	
2011-12	230	83.6	45	16.4	275	100.0	
Total	1,385	78.9	365	20.8	1,755	100.0	

Source: South Australian Department for Communities and Social Inclusion, 2013

Where there are 1 to 5 dwellings the number is replaced with a "*" All totals have been rounded to the nearest 5

[©] Copyright in the underlying property sales data belongs to the South Australian Government. The Land Services Group is custodian of this property sales data.

Affordable private rents Prospect (C)



What is the desired trend?

An increase in the percentage of rental properties which have affordable rents.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

The proportion of private rents that were affordable to low income households between July 2006 and June 2012 in Prospect (C) was 42.6%. This was lower compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 43.5%, and represented 4,645 private rentals in Prospect (C) during the period.

What is the current trend?

While the SA private rental market continues to grow (17.0% of all dwellings in 2006 to 19.3% in 2011), the proportion of low cost private rental stock has decreased at a time when the proportion of low income private renters has increased.

Why use this information?

An affordable rent is calculated to be 30% of weekly gross income.

Household incomes, the bases for determining very low, low and moderate income households, have been calculated from Census data and indexed by CPI in gap years.

The data is made available from the Residential Tenancies Branch in South Australia and is derived from new rent bonds lodged.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Private rental market continues to grow in South Australia, particularly as social housing stock levels and home purchase opportunities decline. Renters are also in the private rental market for longer periods of time with around 40% renting for longer than 10 years. The most dramatic change in the private rental market in South Australia has been the increase in low income households. Access into low cost stock by low income people is further limited as more than half of low cost rental properties are being occupied by households who could afford to pay more(a).

(a) Yates J., Wulff M., and Burke T. (2000) Low Rent Housing in Australia 1986 - 1996 and 2001

Price Points -	200	6-2007	200	7-2008	20	08-2009
Rental	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State
Very Low Income	\$145	\$127	\$152	\$136	\$159	\$147
Low Income	\$232	\$202	\$243	\$218	\$255	\$235
Median Income	\$290	\$253	\$304	\$273	\$318	\$294
Moderate Income	\$348	\$304	\$365	\$327	\$382	\$353
Price Points - Rental	2009	9-2010	201	0-2011	20	11-2012
nona.	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State
Very Low Income	\$167	\$142	\$166	\$132	\$172	\$135
Low Income	\$267	\$253	\$265	\$211	\$275	\$216
Median Income	\$334	\$317	\$332	\$264	\$344	\$271
Moderate Income	\$400	\$380	\$398	\$317	\$413	\$325



Prospect (C)

All percentage figures refer to the percentage of the total private rentals for that year.

Affordable private rents De	etached and semi-detached	d houses	Flats, units and a	partments		l private entals	
Year ending June 30	number	%	number	%	number	%	
very low income household	ls (up to 50% of the mediar	1)					
2006-07	29	3.7	72	9.2	100	12.7	
2007-08	27	3.3	53	6.5	80	9.8	
2008-09	20	2.8	25	3.4	45	6.2	
2009-10	19	2.5	25	3.3	45	6.0	
2010-11	23	3.0	22	2.9	45	5.8	
2011-12	16	2.0	24	3.0	40	5.0	
Total	135	2.9	220	4.7	355	7.6	
low income households (up	to 80% of the median inco	ome)					
2006-07	97	12.4	325	41.4	420	53.5	
2007-08	77	9.4	307	37.7	385	47.2	
2008-09	62	8.6	254	35.0	315	43.4	
2009-10	64	8.5	246	32.6	310	41.1	
2010-11	57	7.4	212	27.5	270	35.1	
2011-12	49	6.2	230	28.9	280	35.2	
Total	405	8.7	1,575	33.9	1,980	42.6	
moderate income househol	ds (up to 120% of the med	ian income)					
2006-07	325	41.4	397	50.6	720	91.7	
2007-08	360	44.2	388	47.6	750	92.0	
2008-09	315	43.4	344	47.4	660	91.0	
2009-10	344	45.6	344	45.6	690	91.4	
2010-11	294	38.2	347	45.1	640	83.1	
2011-12	331	41.6	365	45.9	695	87.4	
Total	1,970	42.4	2,185	47.0	4,155	89.5	
Total properties							
2006-07	390	49.7	400	51.0	785	100.0	
2007-08	420	51.5	395	48.5	815	100.0	
2008-09	375	51.7	350	48.3	725	100.0	
2009-10	405	53.6	350	46.4	755	100.0	
2010-11	405	52.6	360	46.8	770	100.0	
2011-12	420	52.8	375	47.2	795	100.0	
		-					

Source: South Australian Department for Communities and Social Inclusion, 2013

Where there are 1 to 5 dwellings the number is replaced with a "*" All totals have been rounded to the nearest 5 $\,$

[©] Copyright in the underlying property sales data belongs to the South Australian Government. The Land Services Group is custodian of this property sales data.

Dwelling types which reflect the changing nature of households in South Australia, particularly the prevalence of single and smaller households.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

In 2011, Prospect (C) had a greater proportion of dwellings with only one or two bedrooms (36.7)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (23.5%). The number of one and two bedroom dwellings in Prospect (C) was 2,961.

What is the current trend?

The market is supplying more large (3 and 4 bedroom) stock and less small stock (1 and 2 bedroom), even though household size is decreasing, both nationally and in SA.

While the size of the average new house continues to increase, the average household size in South Australia continues to decline.

Why use this information?

Dwelling types and size provides an indication of housing choice in the area.

Combined with recent development data, it provides a useful profile of the community's housing supply.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Providing greater choice in housing types and sizes results in greater levels of social mix and enhanced community sustainability as people, across all stages of life can find suitable and affordable housing within the community.

Dwelling type by number of bedrooms, 2011

Prospect (C)

Number of bedrooms	Separate	Other Dwelling house Medium density High density Structure Not stated		Tota	Total							
Number of beardoins	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
None (includes bedsitters)	5	0.1	14	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	0.2
1 bedroom	66	1.1	310	14.1	0	0.0	4	50.0	0	0.0	380	4.7
2 bedrooms	1,199	20.5	1,375	62.5	0	0.0	4	50.0	3	50.0	2,581	32.0
3 bedrooms	3,031	51.8	337	15.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	50.0	3,371	41.8
4 bedrooms	1,159	19.8	35	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,194	14.8
5+ bedrooms	198	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	198	2.5
Not stated	194	3.3	129	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	323	4.0
Total	5,852	100.0	2,200	100.0	0	100.0	8	100.0	6	100.0	8,066	100.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2011 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Enumeration (Place on Census night)



🤼 Recent development trends by dwelling type

Prospect (C)



What is the desired trend?

Increasing diversity of housing type, particularly around transport nodes and activity centres.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

The percentage of new residential dwellings which were flats, units or apartments in Prospect (C) between July 2008 and June 2012 was 31.0%. This was greater compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 26.0%, and represented 77 new flats, units or apartments approved in Prospect (C) during the period.



What is the current trend?

SA has been dominated by detached dwellings with 2 or more bedrooms. The changing ageing demographic profile of SA and average number of people per household suggests a need for a greater diversity of housing and specifically smaller dwellings to accommodate smaller households.



Why use this information?

Residential development trends can inform the adoption of strategic priorities for the future.

Comparing current profile of the community with recent movers as well as recent development trends describes the future trajectory for the Council.

Building approval data is collected by the ABS.



Recent residential development by type, 2008 to 2012 (financial years)

Prospect (C)

			Dwelling ty	ре				
	Separate h	ouses	Medium d	ensity	High den	sity	Tota	ıl
Financial year ending June 30	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
2008 to 2009	34	19.9	29	37.7	0	0.0	63	25.4
2009 to 2010	57	33.3	12	15.6	0	0.0	69	27.8
2010 to 2011	31	18.1	13	16.9	0	0.0	44	17.7
2011 to 2012	49	28.7	23	29.9	0	0.0	72	29.0
Total 2008 to 2012	171	69.0	77	31.0	0	0.0	248	100.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics



A proportion of local social housing stock relative to housing need.

What is the current situation in Prospect (C)?

As at June 2012 the total stock of social housing in Prospect (C) was 305 dwellings. This comprised of:

- Community Housing: 15
- Public Housing: 290

What is the current trend?

Consistent with national trends, South Australia public housing stock numbers continues to decline, while Community and Indigenous managed social housing stock has increased.



Why use this information?

Stock levels are an indication of the availability of publicly funding housing options available to the local community.

Data is sourced from the Department for Communities and Social Inclusion. Public housing figures vary from those reported in the Census making calculations of proportion to all households difficult.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

The provision of publicly funded social housing within the community provides housing opportunities for those people who have needs in addition to affordability and links the housing response to other support services necessary for them to maintain their tenancy.

Areas with a low percentage of publicly funded housing will mean that family or community members who require such assistance will need to move out of the community to access appropriate housing.

There are also a number of urban renewal areas across the state that have had a higher concentration of public housing stock and efforts are progressing to reconfigure housing stock to meet the current needs of the community.



Social housing stock

Prospect (C)

As at June 2012		Prospect (C)	Greater Adelaide Statistical Area
AS at valle 2012	number	% Greater Adelaide Statistical Area total	number
Community Housing	15	0.3	4,395
Public Housing	290	0.8	34,342
Total social housing stock	305	0.8	38,737

Source: South Australian Department for Communities and Social Inclusion, 2012