



Housing Affordability

DEMAND AND SUPPLY BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA

15 OCT 2018

Data included:

Low and moderate income households

Household and family types

Age of household reference person

Housing stress

Recent movers

Indigenous persons

Dwelling prices

Tenure Diversity

Affordable house sales

Recent development trends by dwelling type


Social housing stock



Housing Affordability key data sets - Tea Tree Gully (C)

The complexity surrounding housing affordability means that there is no one best measure for assessing the nature and degree of housing affordability problems.

This report describes the extent and general nature of local housing needs. A summary of the report is provided below.







	Key Data Set Tea Tree Gully (C)	More pressure	on affordable housing market = ↓ on affordable housing market = ↑ on affordable housing market = ↔
1	Low and moderate income households	Percentage of very low and low income household state median) 2016: 31.4%. [Greater Adelaide: 35.	
2	Household and family types	Percentage change in the number of households be 2016: 2.3%. [Greater Adelaide: 4.9%]	etween 2011 and
3	Age of household reference person	Percentage of households where the household reaged 60 years or over: 35.2%. [Greater Adelaide: 3	
1	Housing stress, 2016	Percentage of low and very low income private ren paying more than 25% of their weekly gross house housing: 91.7%. [Greater Adelaide: 89.5%]	
5	Recent movers (1 July 2011 - 30 June 2017)	Percentage of people who were living at a different ago (2016 data): 30.2%. [Greater Adelaide: 36.8%]	
	Indigenous persons	Indigenous persons as a percentage of the total por 1.0%. [Greater Adelaide: 1.4%]	opulation 2016:
	Housing Supply Tea Tree Gully (C)		
7	Dwelling prices	Average annual percentage change in median dwe 2009 to 2016-2017: 2.7%. [Greater Adelaide: 2.7%]	
3	Tenure Diversity, 2016	Percentage of households who own, or are purcha 2016: 75.6%. [Greater Adelaide: 65.1%]	sing their dwelling,
)	Affordable house sales	Proportion of dwelling sales that were affordable to income households between 1 July 2011 and 30 J [Greater Adelaide: 5.4%]	
10	Dwelling type	Percentage of dwellings with one or two bedrooms Adelaide: 22.5%]	: 11.8%. [Greater
11	Recent development trends by dwelling type	Percentage of new, high and medium density residuly 2012 to 30 June 2017: 7.8%. [Greater Adelaid	
12	Social housing stock	Social housing stock (number of dwellings): 1419	n/a



What is the desired trend?

A mix of household incomes is desirable in any location.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

Very Low and Low income households are defined as those households earning up to 80% of the State's median income. In 2016 80% of the State's median income was \$965 per week.

In 2016, Tea Tree Gully (C) has a lower proportion of very low and low income households (31.4)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (35.8%).

The number of very low and low income households in Tea Tree Gully (C) was 11,486.

What is the current trend?

South Australia is a lower income state, with lower median household incomes than the eastern states.

As affordability declines, lower income households concentrate in areas which are less well located, often further from employment, education and other services.

Why use this information?

Understanding the mix of household types in a community informs the demand and need for housing.

The median household income is the household income at which half the households have more income and half have less income.

The low and moderate income households indicator uses the following widely used description for very low, low and moderate income households.

- Very low income 50% of median household income
- Low income 80% of median household income
- Moderate income 120% of median household income

As housing markets operate regionally, calculations of very low, low and moderate income households are based on two medians - one for metropolitan and one for rest of state.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A vibrant and healthy community needs a broad social mix - in terms of family types, family backgrounds, incomes, ages, etc. A wide mix of people in a community will result in a greater diversity of activities and

A larger concentration of higher income households and people in stable employment will drive up house prices and rents beyond the affordability of lower income households and those employed on a flexible basis.



Household income by tenure type, 2016

Tea Tree Gully (C)

Tenure type	Very I inco (<\$603 p	me	Lo inco (\$603-\$9 wl	me 964 per	Mode inco (\$965-\$1 w	me 446 per	Hig inco (>=\$14 wk	me 47 per	Income stat		Tot	tal
	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	835	14.0	1,233	22.3	2,169	38.4	10,294	63.0	1,365	44.3	15,900	43.5
Rented: Public	659	11.1	211	3.8	93	1.6	93	0.6	98	3.2	1,154	3.2
Rented: Private and not stated	895	15.0	997	18.0	1,035	18.3	1,782	10.9	363	11.8	5,071	13.9
Rented: Other landlord	146	2.5	57	1.0	45	8.0	74	0.5	37	1.2	354	1.0
Other tenure types	3,414	57.4	3,039	54.9	2,313	40.9	4,091	25.0	1,218	39.5	14,079	38.5
Total	5,949	100.0	5,537	100.0	5,655	100.0	16,334	100.0	3,081	100.0	36,558	100.0

Source: Based on Australian Bureau of Statistics data, 2016 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Usual Residence



A mix of household sizes and types is desirable in all locations.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

Between 2011 and 2016 the percentage change in total households for Tea Tree Gully (C) was 2.3%. This rate of change was lower than that in the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area which experienced a 4.9% increase.



What is the current trend?

Household formation rates are no longer exceeding population growth.

The national household size has remained stable over the last ten years with an average of 2.6 people per household.

The exception to this trend is the Indigenous community which has seen a slight decrease in household size from 3.4 people in 2006 down to 3.2 people in 2016. Also, around half of the regional LGAs in SA have seen a slight decrease in household size whereas the handful of LGAs where the average household size has increased are almost entirely within metropolitan Adelaide. These include Burnside, Charles Sturt, Holdfast Bay, Marion, Mitcham, Prospect and Unley.



Why use this information?

Informs the extent of the demand and need for different housing types.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

The increase in average household size within some metropolitan LGAs is likely resultant from higher housing costs whereby some people are choosing to share accommodation in order to afford housing costs. Nationally, group households are the fastest growing household type.

Meanwhile, the decrease in household size within regional SA coincides with a dramatic increase in the median age of the population, a marked decline in couple families with children and a steady increase in lone person households. Although there is not an affordability issue within regional SA currently, there may be a future mismatch between the housing available and the housing needs of an ageing population.

Household and Family types	2016		201	11	Change 2011 to 2016	
Trouberrord and Farminy types	number	%	number	%	number	%
Couple Families with Children 15 or over	4,491	16.1	4,507	16.2	-16	-0.4
Couple Families with Children under 15	7,785	27.9	7,897	28.5	-112	-1.4
Total couples with child(ren)	12,276	43.9	12,404	44.7	-128	-1.0
One Parent Families with Children 15 or over	2,323	8.3	2,119	7.6	204	9.6
One Parent Families with Children under 15	1,947	7.0	1,993	7.2	-46	-2.3
Total one parent families	4,270	15.3	4,112	14.8	158	3.8
Other Families	302	1.1	294	1.1	8	2.7
Couple Families with No Children	11,099	39.7	10,939	39.4	160	1.5
Total families	27,947	100.0	27,749	100.0	198	0.7
One Family Households	27,122	72.2	27,134	73.9	-12	0.0
Two or more family households	408	1.1	302	0.8	106	35.1
Total family households	27,530	73.3	27,436	74.8	94	0.3
Lone person household	8,276	22.0	7,734	21.1	542	7.0
Group household	747	2.0	799	2.2	-52	-6.5
Other Households	1,002	2.7	728	2.0	274	37.6
Total households	37,555	100.0	36,697	100.0	858	2.3
Average household size	2.5		2.6		-0.1	-3.8

(Average number of people per household)

🤼 Age of household reference person

Tea Tree Gully (C)



What is the desired trend?

A mix of age groups is desirable for any location.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

In 2016, Tea Tree Gully (C) had a greater proportion of households with a household reference person (or "head") aged 60 years or older (35.2)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (33.6%). The number of "older" households in Tea Tree Gully (C) was 13,384.



What is the current trend?

SA is ageing faster than the rest of Australia and 20.9% of its population is projected to be aged 65 or more by 2025 compared to 17.8% nationally.

Age profiles vary across housing tenures, with older persons predominately in outright homeownership.



Why use this information?

The indicator provides an age profile of heads of households and its influence on housing need and demand.

Data on the age profile of the population (as opposed to head of household) is available from the ABS.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?


An age profile of a community effects relative housing need. Age cohorts provide an indication of likely housing demand.

- Young people (typically 15-24 years) often experience the highest incidence of housing stress and require housing options that support a transition to independence.
- Households in the mid 20s to 40s are often starting a family and seeking opportunities to enter homeownership.
- Mature aged householders in their 50s and 60s are more likely to be outright owners. Those in private rental are unlikely to enter homeownership at this stage in life.
- Older households (over 65) are often asset rich but income poor and looking for housing options which enable ageing within their community or support services that assists them to age in place.

Tea Tree Gully (C)

A we of household reference were	2016			
Age of household reference persons	number	%		
5-29 years	2,848	7.5		
30-44 years	9,564	25.2		
5-59 years	11,183	29.4		
0-74 years	9,055	23.8		
5 and over	4,329	11.4		
lot Applicable	1,002	2.6		
otal	37,981	100.0		

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2016 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Usual Residence





Reduction in the proportion of households, particularly private renters, in housing stress and extreme stress.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

In 2016, Tea Tree Gully (C) had a greater proportion of private renter households (includes those with a landlord type of 'other') who were earning a low or very low income and paying more than 25% of their income on housing (91.7)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (89.5%). The number of private renter households earning a low or very low income which are in housing stress in Tea Tree Gully (C) was 1,922

What is the current trend?

The proportion of low and moderate households in housing stress increased in SA from 29.5% in 2011 to 30.6% in 2016 although the percentage of low and moderate income households who spent more than 50% of their income on housing decreased slightly from 10.7% to 10.6%.

Housing stress affects some tenures disproportionately, with private tenants; and increasingly first home buyers; bearing the brunt of declining housing affordability.



Why use this information?

Housing stress is an indicator of housing need, which was initially developed by the National Housing Strategy in 1990/91 and is widely used across Australia.

Housing stress refers to low income households (lowest 40% of incomes) paying more than 25% of gross household income for private rental or 30% for mortgage repayments. For moderate income households, housing stress refers to households paying more than 30% of gross household income on rent or mortgage repayments.

Low and moderate income households paying more than 50% of their gross household income on housing are often considered to be in extreme housing stress.

Housing stress calculations are limited to the proportion of income paid on rent and mortgage payments, as reported through the Census. Affordability issues are compounded often by associated energy and water consumption and transport costs. However these are difficult to quantify, given variations in household type. health and lifestyle preferences and employment patterns and have therefore been excluded.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A household that is in stress is less likely to be able to contribute to community life, either due to the need to work longer hours to pay the bills, or simply because there isn't enough money for other activities.

The housing stress problem manifests itself in different ways, such as moving to a lower priced area (and hence often away from job opportunities), postponement of child bearing or family breakdown.

As alternative housing forms and tenures increase, providing greater opportunities for a range of more affordable housing options, the level of housing stress in the community should decline.

Housing stress, 2016	Very low ir <\$603 pe		Low income \$603- \$964 per wk		Moderate income \$965-\$1446 per wk		Total households	
	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	
Households paying 25% or more	of income on h	ousing						
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	599	10.1	752	13.6	1,110	19.6	3,855	
Rented: Public	415	7.0	80	1.4	33	0.6	527	
Rented: Private and not stated	862	14.5	915	16.5	637	11.3	2,479	
Rented: Other landlord	98	1.6	47	0.8	15	0.3	155	
Rented: TOTAL	1,375	23.1	1,042	18.8	685	12.1	3,161	
Other tenure types	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total households	1,978	33.2	1,792	32.4	1,791	31.7	7,013	
Households paying 30% or more	of income on h	ousing						
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	567	9.5	621	11.2	666	11.8	2,449	
Rented: Public	258	4.3	32	0.6	5	0.1	288	
Rented: Private and not stated	844	14.2	841	15.2	229	4.0	1,926	
Rented: Other landlord	81	1.4	38	0.7	8	0.1	118	
Rented: TOTAL	1,183	19.9	911	16.5	242	4.3	2,332	
Other tenure types	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total households	1,747	29.3	1,532	27.7	907	16.0	4,786	
Households paying 50% or more	of income on h	ousing						
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	409	6.9	159	2.9	77	1.4	692	
Rented: Public	86	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	86	
Rented: Private and not stated	653	11.0	56	1.0	0	0.0	716	
Rented: Other landlord	46	0.8	3	0.1	0	0.0	47	
Rented: TOTAL	785	13.2	59	1.1	0	0.0	849	
Other tenure types	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	
Total households	1,195	20.1	219	4.0	85	1.5	1,544	
Total households renting or purc	hasing							
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	835	14.0	1,233	22.3	2,169	38.4	15,900	
Rented: Public	659	11.1	211	3.8	93	1.6	1,154	
Rented: Private and not stated	895	15.0	997	18.0	1,035	18.3	5,071	
Rented: Other landlord	146	2.5	57	1.0	45	0.8	354	
Rented: TOTAL	1,700	28.5	1,265	22.9	1,173	20.7	6,579	
Other tenure types	3,414	57.3	3,039	54.9	2,313	40.9	14,079	
Total households	5,955	100.0	5,536	100.0	5,655	100.0	36,559	

Source: Based on Australian Bureau of Statistics data, 2016 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Usual Residence

A mix of household sizes and types is desirable in all locations.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

In 2016, Tea Tree Gully (C) had a lower proportion of people who were living at a different address five years ago (30.2)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (36.8%). The number of recent movers in Tea Tree Gully (C) was 27,827.

What is the current trend?

Migration trends influence the housing form and demand, and movement across the metropolitan area is an important factor in residential planning.

Non metropolitan areas often have a net migration of young adults in search of educational and employment opportunities. There is also a 'sea-change' and 'tree-change' phenomenon nationally with older households moving away from inner metropolitan areas, placing greater demands on physical and social infrastructure for the destination communities.

Why use this information?

This indicator provides a measure of recent movers into the Council area and therefore likely future housing need through identifying population and demographic trends that can influence housing demand.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Developing a broad picture of future household profile will assist in determining the likely demand for housing in the future, as differing groups, household types and sizes have differing housing needs.

It also can inform local housing strategies which may promote certain population groups into the community, eg younger workforce in an ageing community.

Tea Tree Gully (C)

Age of persons who had a different address in the 2011 Census	Moved between 2011 and 2016				
Census	number	%			
5-9 years	2,544	9.1			
10-14 years	1,940	7.0			
15-29 years	7,460	26.8			
30-44 years	8,845	31.8			
45-59 years	4,047	14.5			
60-74 years	1,926	6.9			
75 and over	1,065	3.8			
Total persons	27,827	100.0			

Households who had a different address in the 2011	Moved be 2011 and		Households who had a different address in the 2011 Census by household income	Moved between 2011 and 2016		
Census by current tenure	number	%	nouseriola income	number	%	
Fully owned	1,490	12.4	Very low income	1,375	11.5	
Being purchased (incl rent/buy)	5,855	48.9	Low income	1,597	13.3	
Rented (incl rent-free)	4,193	35.0	Moderate income	1,874	15.7	
Other tenure type (incl life tenure)	275	2.3	High income	6,229	52.0	
Not stated	157	1.3	One or more incomes not stated	896	7.5	
Total households	11,970	100.0	Total households	11,971	100.0	

Source: Based on Australian Bureau of Statistics data, 2016 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Usual Residence

A mix of population groups is desirable in any location, promoting tolerance and providing choice for a diversity of people.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

In 2016, Tea Tree Gully (C) had a lower proportion of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders (1.0)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (1.4%). The number of indigenous persons in Tea Tree Gully (C) was 962.

What is the current trend?

Nationally, proportions of households with an Indigenous person continues to grow. Over the last five years the median age of Indigenous people has increased to 23 and the median household size has decreased to 3.2.

Why use this information?

Across Australia, Indigenous people experience higher levels of housing need. Indigenous homelessness is 3.5 times and overcrowding almost 6 times higher than the national figures. Indigenous home ownership is just over half the national average.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A diversity of dwelling types and sometimes specialised services are needed to support a diversity of population groups. Indigenous people, for example typically have larger households and often experience discrimination in the private rental market.

Indigenous persons (Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islanders)

Tea Tree Gully (C)

1 ()	2016	2011		
Age group (years)	number	%	number	%
0 to 9	213	22.1	180	22.5
10 to 19	188	19.5	179	22.3
20 to 29	176	18.3	134	16.7
30 to 39	115	12.0	89	11.1
40 to 49	102	10.6	106	13.2
50 to 59	90	9.4	61	7.6
60 and over	78	8.1	52	6.5
Total	962	100.0	801	100.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2016 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Enumeration (Place on Census night)

Stable house and rent prices rising proportionate to household income growth.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

The median house price in Tea Tree Gully (C) for the financial year 2016-2017 was \$394,500. Between 1 July 2008 and 30 June 2017 the average annual change in median house prices for Tea Tree Gully (C) was 2.7% year on year. This rate of change is lower when compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 2.7% year on year.

What is the current trend?

House prices have increased by nearly 75% over the past decade across Australia. In comparison, South Australian house prices have only increased by 28% over this same period.

Rental prices have been more stable although steadily increasing. Growth rates have gradually declined over the 10 years with only slight increases in recent years.

Why use this information?

Median prices provide an indication of accessibility of home ownership and rental options.

One of the most pertinent factors preventing households in the private rental market from leaving the tenure and entering home ownership is the rapid increase in house prices.

House prices are derived from data held by the Valuer General and are calculated based on all sales for the previous year.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Increases in median house and rent prices in excess of household income growth have a negative impact on housing affordability.

Median dwelling prices, 1 July 2008 to 30 June 2017

Tea Tree Gully (C)

Financial year ending June 30	Detached house	Attached dwelling (Maisonettes / Row Houses)	Flat/Unit (Home units / Flats / Townhouses)	Total	
	median price \$	median price \$	median price \$	median price \$	
2008 to 2009	329,000	260,000	260,000	320,000	
2009 to 2010	355,000	277,500	274,000	350,000	
2010 to 2011	360,000	278,750	282,000	350,000	
2011 to 2012	350,000	288,000	277,500	340,000	
2012 to 2013	355,000	235,000	270,000	350,000	
2013 to 2014	363,000	255,000	270,750	355,000	
2014 to 2015	372,500	290,000	280,000	361,000	
2015 to 2016	390,000	247,500	275,000	380,000	
2016 to 2017	400,000	260,000	275,000	394,500	
0 10"					

Source: Valuer Generals Office

A mix of housing tenure outcomes with a strong level of home ownership.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

In 2016, Tea Tree Gully (C) had a greater proportion of households purchasing or owning their dwelling (75.6)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (65.1%). The number of households purchasing and owning their dwelling in Tea Tree Gully (C) was 28,384.

What is the current trend?

There has been a slight decrease in home ownership rates over the last ten years both at a national level and within South Australia. This is due to a decrease in outright ownership, while the percentage of households purchasing their home has remained steady.

Of greater concern is the decline in home purchase rates for 25 to 44 year olds. According to a Grattan Institute report there has been a noticeable decline for this age group over the last 10 years. It is unclear at this stage whether the decline among younger households represents a deferral or permanent reduction in purchase and hence ownership rates.

Nationally, there is a substantially lower level of homeownership among the Indigenous population (less than 60% of the national rate).



Why use this information?

Tenure profile provides an indication of housing possibilities available within a community.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

A variety of housing tenures will encourage a mix of people in a community. A vibrant and healthy community needs a wide social mix, in terms of family types, family backgrounds and ages. A wide mix of people in a community will result in a greater diversity of activities and ideas.

Whilst the 'Great Australian Dream' of home ownership remains a real goal for most, at some stages in life, there is a need for alternative forms of accommodation. For example, students and other young single people leaving home or older persons who wish to downsize but remain within the local community.

Providing greater choice in housing tenure results in greater affordability of housing for all. A wide mix of housing provision in an area will provide a sense of security to existing residents that they and their children can afford to live in their community through all stages of life, should they choose to do so.

Tea Tree Gully (C)

	Separate	house	Medium d	ensity ²	High de	nsity 3	Other Dwe	-	Not sta	ited	Tota	al
Tenure type	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
Fully Owned	11,736	34.8	687	18.6	0	0.0	8	47.1	14	20.3	12,447	33.2
Being Purchased (incl rent/buy)	15,176	44.9	723	19.6	0	0.0	9	52.9	31	44.9	15,937	42.5
Rented from State/Territory Housing Authority	805	2.4	349	9.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	4.3	1,160	3.1
Rented from other landlord	4,274	12.7	1,141	30.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	15.9	5,420	14.4
Rented and landlord type not stated	26	0.1	10	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	0.1
Occupied rent free	144	0.4	21	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	163	0.4
Other Tenure Type	351	1.0	497	13.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	7.2	855	2.3
Tenure Not Stated	1,256	3.7	268	7.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	7.2	1,528	4.1
Total	33,768	100.0	3,696	100.0	0	100.0	17	100.0	69	100.0	37,542	100.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2016 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Enumeration (Place on Census Night)

¹ Figure 4.2 Housing Affordability: Re-imagining the Australian Dream, March 2018

Semi-detached, row or terrace houses, or townhouses with one or more storeys, and flats or apartments in a one or two storey block or attached to a house

³ Flats or apartments in a three or more storey block



Increased proportion of house sales which are affordable for low and moderate income households.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

There were 9,425 dwelling sales in the period 1 July 2011 - 30 June 2017 in Tea Tree Gully (C). The proportion of dwelling sales that were affordable to very low and low income households was 1.6%. This was lower compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 5.4%.



What is the current trend?

The percentage of sales affordable for low and moderate income households has declined dramatically, such that only a small percentage of sales are within an affordable range.



Why use this information?

This is an indicator of the feasibility of attaining the Australian dream of home ownership for low and moderate income households.

House prices provide a good indicator of home purchase affordability for a local area.

House prices are derived from data held by the Valuer General.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Low and moderate income households represent 60% of households in the state. With access to a limited percentage of the sales, there will be greater pressure on the private rental market and people's aspirations for home ownership will not be realised.

Home purchase	201	1-2012	201	2-2013	2013-2014		
Prices	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	
Very Low Income	\$112,000	\$89,000	\$127,000	\$101,000	\$139,000	\$111,000	
Low Income	\$179,000	\$143,000	\$202,000	\$161,000	\$222,000	\$177,000	
Median Income	\$224,000	\$179,000	\$253,000	\$202,000	\$278,000	\$221,000	
Moderate Income	\$269,000	\$214,000	\$304,000	\$242,000	\$333,000	\$265,000	

Home purchase	201	4-2015	201	5-2016	2016-2017		
Prices	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	Capital City	Rest of State	
Very Low Income	\$127,000	\$101,000	\$131,000	\$106,000	\$138,000	\$112,000	
Low Income	\$203,000	\$162,000	\$209,000	\$170,000	\$221,000	\$180,000	
Median Income	\$254,000	\$202,000	\$261,000	\$212,000	\$276,000	\$224,000	
Moderate Income	\$305,000	\$243,000	\$314,000	\$255,000	\$332,000	\$269,000	

For 11/12 through to 13/14 the home purchase price is based on current RBA bank rate and 5% deposit. From 14/15 through Please note: to 16/17 the home purchase price is based on the 10 year average of the RBA bank rate and 5% deposit.




All percentage figures refer to the percentage of the total sales for that year.

Affordable house sales	Detached and semi-detach	etached and semi-detached houses			Total private sales		
Year ending June 30	number	%	number	%	number	%	
very low income house	holds (up to 50% of the medi	an income)					
2011-12	7	0.5	0	0.0	5	0.3	
2012-13	13	0.9	*	0.1-0.4	15	1.1	
2013-14	11	0.7	*	0.1-0.3	10	0.6	
2014-15	13	0.8	*	0.1-0.3	15	0.9	
2015-16	*	0.1-0.3	0	0.0	*	0.1-0.3	
2016-17	10	0.6	*	0.1-0.3	10	0.6	
Total	60	0.6	*	0.0-0.1	60	0.6	
low income households	s (50-80% of the median inco	me)					
2011-12	*	0.1-0.3	*	0.1-0.3	5	0.3	
2012-13	7	0.5	*	0.1-0.4	10	0.7	
2013-14	18	1.1	18	1.1	35	2.1	
2014-15	10	0.6	*	0.1-0.3	15	0.9	
2015-16	*	0.1-0.3	6	0.4	10	0.6	
2016-17	7	0.4	8	0.5	15	0.9	
Total	50	0.5	40	0.4	95	1.0	
moderate income hous	eholds (80-120% of the media	an income)					
2011-12	83	5.6	57	3.9	140	9.5	
2012-13	247	17.6	76	5.4	325	23.1	
2013-14	455	27.6	107	6.5	560	33.9	
2014-15	210	13.2	108	6.8	320	20.1	
2015-16	151	9.0	131	7.8	280	16.7	
2016-17	254	15.6	84	5.2	340	20.9	
Total	1,400	14.9	565	6.0	1,965	20.8	
All house sales Year	Detached and semi-detache	ed houses	Flats, units and	apartments	Total pri	vate sales	
ending June 30	number	%	number	%	number	%	
Total							
2011-12	1,345	90.9	135	9.1	1,480	100.0	
2012-13	1,310	93.2	95	6.8	1,405	100.0	
2013-14	1,515	91.8	135	8.2	1,650	100.0	
2014-15	1,445	90.9	145	9.1	1,590	100.0	
2015-16	1,520	90.7	155	9.3	1,675	100.0	
2016-17	1,520	93.5	105	6.5	1,625	100.0	
	7			-	,		

Source: South Australian Department for Communities and Social Inclusion, 2013

Where there are 1 to 5 dwellings the number is replaced with a $^{"*"}$ All totals have been rounded to the nearest 5

[©] Copyright in the underlying property sales data belongs to the South Australian Government. The Land Services Group is custodian of this property sales data.





Dwelling types which reflect the changing nature of households in South Australia, particularly the prevalence of single and smaller households.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

In 2016, Tea Tree Gully (C) had a lower proportion of dwellings with only one or two bedrooms (11.8)% compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area (22.5%). The number of one and two bedroom dwellings in Tea Tree Gully (C) was 4,325.



What is the current trend?

The market is supplying more large (3 and 4 bedroom) stock and less small stock (1 and 2 bedroom) both nationally and in SA. However, the percentage of smaller stock is increasing. This is particularly important in South Australia where the average household size is lower (2.4) compared to the Australian average (2.6).



Why use this information?

Dwelling types and size provides an indication of housing choice in the area.

Combined with recent development data, it provides a useful profile of the community's housing supply.



What does this mean for affordability in the area?

Providing greater choice in housing types and sizes results in greater levels of social mix and enhanced community sustainability as people, across all stages of life can find suitable and affordable housing within the community.



Dwelling type by number of bedrooms, 2016



Tea Tree Gully (C)

Number of bedrooms	Separate house Medium der		lensity	y High density ²		Other Dwelling Structure		Not stated		Total Stock		
Number of bedrooms	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
None (includes bedsitters)	21	0.1	3	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	0.1
1 bedroom	184	0.6	224	6.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	400	1.1
2 bedrooms	1,937	5.9	1,977	56.1	0	0.0	3	12.0	12	20.0	3,925	10.7
3 bedrooms	19,858	60.3	1,146	32.5	0	0.0	12	48.0	27	45.0	21,046	57.6
4 bedrooms	8,986	27.3	56	1.6	0	0.0	10	40.0	14	23.3	9,061	24.8
5+ bedrooms	1,511	4.6	7	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	5.0	1,520	4.2
Not stated	450	1.4	109	3.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	6.7	569	1.6
Total	32,947	100.0	3,522	100.0	0	100.0	25	100.0	60	100.0	36,548	100.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2016 Census of Population and Housing Data based on Place of Enumeration (Place on Census night)

13

¹ Semi-detached, row or terrace houses, or townhouses with one or more storeys, and flats or apartments in a one or two storey block or attached to a house



What is the desired trend?

Increasing diversity of housing type, particularly around transport nodes and activity centres, to accommodate the changing household demographic.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

The percentage of newly approved residential dwellings which were considered high or medium density within Tea Tree Gully (C) between 1 July 2012 and 30 June 2017 was 7.8%. This was lower compared to the Greater Adelaide Statistical Area with 31.9%. This represents a total of 123 high or medium density dwellings approved within Tea Tree Gully (C) during this period.



What is the current trend?

SA has been dominated by detached dwellings with 3 or more bedrooms. The changing ageing demographic profile of SA and average number of people per household suggests a need for a greater diversity of housing and specifically smaller dwellings to accommodate smaller households.



Why use this information?

Residential development trends can inform the adoption of strategic priorities for the future.

Identifying the type of stock being delivered to market, and the change, if any, to the Local Government's Area stock profile, can assist in identifying the type of stock that should be developed in the future.



Recent residential building approvals by type, 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2017

Tea Tree Gully (C)

	Dwelling type							
Financial year ending June 30	Separate houses		Medium density		High density 2		Total	
Thancial year chang durie 30	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%
2012 to 2013	220	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	220	100.0
2013 to 2014	282	87.6	40	12.4	0	0.0	322	100.0
2014 to 2015	282	94.9	15	5.1	0	0.0	297	100.0
2015 to 2016	348	98.6	5	1.4	0	0.0	353	100.0
2016 to 2017	315	83.3	63	16.7	0	0.0	378	100.0
Total 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2017	1,447	92.2	123	7.8	0	0.0	1,570	100.0

Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics

¹⁴

Semi-detached, row or terrace houses, or townhouses with one or more storeys, and flats or apartments in a one or two storey block or attached to a house

A proportion of local social housing stock relative to housing need.

What is the current situation in Tea Tree Gully (C)?

As at 30 June 2017 the total stock of social housing in Tea Tree Gully (C) was 1,419 dwellings. This comprised of:

Community Housing: 69

Public Housing: 1350

What is the current trend?

Consistent with national trends, South Australia public housing stock numbers continue to decline, while community and Indigenous managed social housing stock has increased.



Why use this information?

Stock levels are an indication of the availability of publicly funding housing options available to the local community.

Data is sourced from the Department for Communities and Social Inclusion. Public housing figures vary from those reported in the Census making calculations of proportion to all households difficult.

What does this mean for affordability in the area?

The provision of publicly funded social housing within the community provides housing opportunities for those people who have needs in addition to affordability and links the housing response to other support services necessary for them to maintain their tenancy.

Areas with a low percentage of publicly funded housing will mean that family or community members who require such assistance will need to move out of the community to access appropriate housing.

There are also a number of urban renewal areas across the state that have had a higher concentration of public housing stock and efforts are progressing to reconfigure housing stock to meet the current needs of the community.



Social housing stock

Tea Tree Gully (C)

As at 30 June 2017	Tea Tree Gully (C)		Greater Adelaide Statistical Area	
AS at 30 June 2017	number	% Greater Adelaide Statistical Area total	number	
Community Housing	69	1.0	6,707	
Public Housing	1,350	4.4	31,031	
Total social housing stock	1,419	3.8	37,738	

Source: South Australian Department for Communities and Social Inclusion, 2017